

List of questions

to be answered in the host's statement for the International Climate Protection Fellowship Programm

Please answer the following questions in the order given to produce a 1-2 page host's statement **in English.** It should be accompanied by the signed confirmation of support. This information is important for the members of our international Selection Committee to better assess the qualification of an applicant.

- 1. Do you know the applicant personally, or are you in contact with anyone at her / his current institution?
- 2. How do you rate the candidate's academic and/or professional career and performance?
 - Please consider the following points: dedication, mobility, breadth of specialisation, future potential.
- 3. How do you rate the applicant's leadership potential? (For postdoctoral researchers and applicants who are in the process of doing a doctorate: Please also consider leadership potential in academia.)
- 4. How do you rate the project plan the applicant has submitted?

 Please consider the following points: current relevance, originality, clarity of focus, (methodological) quality, feasibility at the host institution, chances of success in the period envisaged for sponsorship. (For postdoctoral researchers and applicants who are in the process of doing a doctorate: How would you assess the innovation potential of the proposal for the further development of the candidate's discipline?)
- 5. To what extent were you involved in drawing up the project plan the applicant has submitted?
- 6. Does the applicant have the language skills necessary to carry out the project successfully?
- 7. To what extent are the expected results from the proposed stay as well as the applied methods and techniques of relevance to the field of climate protection and climate-related resource conservation in the candidate's country of origin?

Only required for postdoctoral researchers or applicants who are in the process of doing a doctorate.

8. How do you rate the quality of the applicant's key publications?

Please consider the following points: originality, innovativeness, impact on the continued development of the field, candidate's personal contribution (multiple authorship).